

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY


PCT

12

REC'D 03 DEC 2001

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference LPB/P32058WO	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/GB00/03543	International filing date (day/month/year) 15/09/2000	Priority date (day/month/year) 17/09/1999
International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC C12N15/00		
Applicant UNIVERSITY OF LEEDS et al.		
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 7 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of 5 sheets.</p>		
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the report II <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Priority III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement VI <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited VII <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application VIII <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application 		
Date of submission of the demand 09/04/2001	Date of completion of this report 29.11.2001	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465	Authorized officer Trommsdorff, M Telephone No. +49 89 2399 7361	



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

International application No. PCT/GB00/03543

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17):*

Description, pages:

1-3,5-17	as originally filed			
4	as received on	03/10/2001	with letter of	03/10/2001

Claims, No.:

1-21	as received on	03/10/2001	with letter of	03/10/2001
------	----------------	------------	----------------	------------

Drawings, sheets:

1/4-4/4	as originally filed
---------	---------------------

Sequence listing part of the description, pages:

1-3, filed with the letter of 04.12.00

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☒ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☒ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☒ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☒ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB00/03543

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)):

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

II. Priority

1. ☐ This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the failure to furnish within the prescribed time limit the requested:

- ☐ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.
- ☐ translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed.

2. ☐ This report has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid.

Thus for the purposes of this report, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.

3. Additional observations, if necessary:
see separate sheet

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes:	Claims	1-13, 15-21
	No:	Claims	14
Inventive step (IS)	Yes:	Claims	1-13, 15-21
	No:	Claims	14
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes:	Claims	1-21
	No:	Claims	

2. Citations and explanations
see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. PCT/GB00/03543

VI. Certain documents cited

1. Certain published documents (Rule 70.10)

and / or

2. Non-written disclosures (Rule 70.9)

see separate sheet

VII. Certain defects in the international application

The following defects in the form or contents of the international application have been noted:

see separate sheet

VIII. Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

1. Cited documents

The following documents (D) are referred to in this communication; the numbering is the same as in the search report and will be adhered to in the rest of the procedure:

- D1: PEREDELCHUK M Y ET AL: 'A method for construction of E. coli strains with multiple DNA insertions in the chromosome' GENE: AN INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL ON GENES AND GENOMES, GB, ELSEVIER SCIENCE PUBLISHERS, BARKING, vol. 187, no. 2, 18 March 1997 (1997-03-18), p. 231-8, ISSN: 0378-1119
- D4: ZUBKO ELENA ET AL: 'Intrachromosomal recombination between attP regions as a tool to remove selectable marker genes from tobacco transgenes.' NATURE BIOTECHNOLOGY, vol. 18, no. 4, April 2000 (2000-04), p.442-5, ISSN: 1087-0156

**2. Re Item II
Priority**

- 2.1. The priority has been checked: the descriptions of the priority document and of the application as filed appear to have the same content. Thus, the priority is valid and it is assumed that all the claims enjoy the claimed priority date. Therefore, document D4 has not been considered to be part of the prior art as defined in the regulations (Rule 64(1)-(3) PCT).

3. Re Item V

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

- 3.1. Claims 1-12 are directed to a method of removing part of a transgene after its integration into a genome by intrachromosomal homologous recombination in the attachment P region (attP) comprising seq. ID no 1, whereby the use of the attP region yields a high frequency of intrachromosomal homologous recombination. D1 describes a system wherein a gene of interest and a resistance gene are integrated into a host by recombination using modules of site specific recombination of Tn1545 and phage λ . The resistance gene is flanked by λ attR and λ attL sites and can be excised after integration into the host genome by a

helper phage which bears the necessary phage proteins λ is and λ int (p.232, Fig.1 and p.236, § 3.3).

Since the recombinant λ attL and λ attR sites comprise parts of the attP region but nevertheless differ from the attP sequence of claim 1 and since no other prior art teaches a method with the technical features of claim 1, the subject-matter of claim 1 and dependent claims 2-11 is novel over the prior art (Art. 33(2) PCT). Consequently, claims 12, 13 and 15-21 directed to plants or plant cells produced by the method of claim 1 or containing a transgene flanked by said specific attP region and related methods are also novel (Art. 33(2) PCT).

- 3.2. Claim 14 is directed to a plant or plant cell comprising recombinant attP regions without further specification of the sequence of said regions.

Since the plant cells obtained in D1 contain recombinant sites that do actually comprise parts of the attP region, the teaching of D1 is novelty destroying to the subject-matter of claim 14 (Art. 33(2) PCT).

- 3.3. Claim 1 differs from D1 in that the fragment to excise by intrachromosomal homologous recombination is flanked by specific attP sequences comprising seq. ID no 1 of bacteriophage λ , whereas in D1 the fragment (i.e. the drug resistance gene) is flanked by λ attL and λ attR sites.

The method claimed appears to be an alternative method to the method of D1. However, neither D1 nor any other document of the prior art suggests the use of said specific attP region. Moreover, the applicants show that the method claimed leads to a more efficient removal of the transgene due to a higher recombination frequency between said attP regions and without the need of helper sequences. Hence, the method of claim 1 could not be derived in an obvious manner from the prior art and represents a technical improvement over already known methods. Consequently, the subject-matter of claim 1 and dependent or related claims 2-13 and 15-21 is also inventive (Art. 33(3) PCT).

- 3.4. The subject-matter of claims 1-21 is industrially applicable in the field of food industry (Art. 33(4) PCT).

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/GB00/03543

4. Re Item VI

Certain documents cited (Rule 70.10)

Application No Patent No	Publication date (day/month/year)	Filing date (day/month/year)	Priority date (<i>valid claim</i>) (day/month/year)
WO 01 07572 A	1 February 2001	21 July 2000	23 July 1999

5. Re Item VII

Certain defects in the international application

- 5.1. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the documents D1-D3 is not mentioned in the description, nor are these documents identified therein.

6. Re Item VIII

Certain observations on the international application

- 6.1. The term "functionally equivalent fragment thereof" used in claim 7 is vague and unclear and leaves the reader in doubt as to the meaning of the technical feature to which it refers, thereby rendering the definition of the subject-matter of said claims unclear (Article 6 PCT).